Protecting 5G Systems Using Side-Channel Information

NSF Workshop on Next-G Security

Oct 15th, 2020





Some Background Info.

- PFP Cybersecurity is a spin-off of Wireless@VT
- Use side channels (e.g., power, EM, heat, ...) to validate hardware and software.
- Started from research in validating software to a hardware radio platform
- All systems (small or large) use power and malware or Trojans can't escape from using power.
- Initial seed funding though NSF SBIR and STTR Program, Phase I and Phase II.
- Biggest hurdle think non-traditional physical cybersecurity to those in the field.



THANK YOU NSF!

General Approach

- Signal detection/classification/anomaly detection of analog signals (side-channels).
 - Baseline characterization from "gold product" or crowd-sourcing
- New application is for malware and hardware Trojan detection (supply chain).



PFP Zero Trust Monitoring: Supply Chain Screening and Cyber Kill Chain Disruption

Supply Chain Screening

 Detect counterfeit/banned hardware and firmware tampering



HW Trojan Detection in Xilinx FPGA

- DC Current Sensor and Keysight Digitizer
- Time/Frequency Feature Extraction
- Bayes Classifier



Server BMC Firmware Tamper Detection

- Loading additional modules at boot
- EM Sensor and pMon 751
- Envelope Analysis and HOS features
 - PFP Cybersecurity Company Proprietary

Operational Monitoring

 Detect stealth attacks in machine time and disrupt the attack cyber kill chain



Intrusion Detection & Adversary Lateral Movements Tracking

- Adversary moves from one target to the next
- Each device being monitored real-time by PFP (EM and DC)

Cyber Kill Chain/Lateral Movement Attack Description



Real-time Cyber Kill Chain Tracking in Critical Infrastructure

• Simultaneously monitor multiple devices in a critical infrastructure setup and detect attacks in real time to track adversaries' lateral movement.



General thoughts

- Some tough problems.
 - Security for low power and cheap IoT devices.
 - Physical layer disruption for mission critical systems.
 - Knowing the integrity of the hardware/software.
 - Recognizing zero-day attacks
 - Insuring integrity of the encryption.
 - Certificate management.
- 6G will be AI centric
 - Starts with 5G, but outsanding issues.
 - Assuring integrity of AI lots of issues with security and validation.
- We need to "think out of the box"

Backup Slides



PFP Cybersecurity Company Proprietary



Supermicro Server Attack: Exploiting BMC to Install Backdoor





PFP Cybersecurity Company Proprietary

Broad Attack Surface in a Server – Bare Metal



- Adversaries target much more than CPUs
- Several bare metal components difficult to protect
- Hidden memory/processors can be used to launch attacks on the main CPU or Exfiltrate information as covert channels

Evaluation Attack on Supermicro X10 Motherboard -> used in SWFTS TI20

Step by step attack break down:

- Attacker modifies BMC firmware to enable ssh
- Attacker accesses BMC over SSH using IPMI network with admin credentials
- Attacker exploits SSH service to run payload on BMC ARM core
- Payload uses X-DMA to find kernel code memory
- Payload uses X-DMA to inject shellcode into the kernel code
- Kernel shellcode runs Python with a backdoor command
- Python backdoor connects back to the attacker, providing a shell

IPMI NIC -> BMC CPU -> PCIe bus -> CPU





Instrumenting Server BMC with EM Probe



PFP Cybersecurity Company Proprietary

Complete Demo Block Diagram



BMC attack's three steps: 1) load a modified firmware, 2) use X-DMA to inject shellcode in CPU kernel, 3) install backdoor



Step 1: Modifies BMC firmware

- Modifies BMC firmware to enable ssh
 - This process is done on a Local PC
 - Enable ssh then copy over the Backdoor exploit
- The modified BMC code is updated on the BMC using Supermicro web interface tools on the Local PC



Step 2: Run exploit script on Local PC

- PFP runs exploit.sh on Local PC
- Exploit.sh copies payload from the Local PC to BMC (using ssh) and executes the payload on the BMC.
- Payload uses X-DMA to inject shellcode into the kernel code



Step 3: Install Backdoor

- Kernel shellcode runs Python with a backdoor command
- Python backdoor connects back to the attacker, providing a shell

BMC Attack 1st Stage Detection: Firmware Implant



BMC Attack 2nd Stage Detection: X-DMA Exploit

Clipping – X Compation between VOM and Rustime Error –	- Ø ×
At least one of the Input Samples exceeds the maximum value (clipping).	■ Visual Systeg Server 1.6.4 - □ ×
Settings PMon Runtime Partice Under Test IP Derice Under Test IP Default Save Path: IHz_500_PLC_DataLog	Setup Font Processing Highlighting Goto new More View prev View next View file Cear About Terminate Display
Analysis Number of Traces: 50 Subgroup Size: 16 Run KS Automaticity: Image: Comparison of the second	Message filtering All messages match Displaying 106 messages Time Time JP Host Facility Priorit Tag Message Jul 16 1 (172.10 CEF:0 [PFP Cybersecurity [pHon-751 [1.0] Jul 16 1 (172.10
Clasifier Result	Jul 36 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16 1 (172.16 CEF30 [PPP Cybersecurity pHon-751 1.0 Jul 16
Epochs Stop Reset Run KS Analysis	Jul 16 11 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 11 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 11 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 11 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 11 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 11 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 11 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [PHon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [Phon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [Phon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [Phon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [Phon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [Phon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [Phon-751] 1.0 Jul 16 12 (122.16 CEE:0 [PFP Cybersecurity] [Phon-751] 1.0 Jul 16

Cybersecurity in 5G Mission-Critical Systems

- Traditional solutions are inadequate for emerging threats
 - Beyond desktops control, weapons, and navigation systems are at risk,
- Untrusted supply chain hardware/firmware tampering
 - Software only solutions cannot reliably detect HW tamper
- Zero-Trust requires independent assessment/verification for security







In total, authorities around the world, including in the United States, Canada and China, made more than 400 seizures with an estimated value of \$76 million. In one instance, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police seized 1,600 pieces of counterfeit Cisco routers.

Aug 14, 2015 Cisco warning: Attackers hijacking networking hardware via malicious firmware



Unintended Emissions and Machine Learning: Independent Assessment and Zero Trust



- Side channels, e.g. power behavior or electromagnetic emissions, used to perform nondestructive, unobtrusive evaluation of 5G systems to assess the integrity of hardware/firmware and detect tampering
- Support Zero Trust Architecture with independent monitoring



Other Examples



PFP Cybersecurity Company Proprietary



PLC Control Flow Tracking







@2020 Power Fingerprinting Inc. Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies only. Other 20

History: Minimum Sensitivity Evaluation



©2020 Power Fingerprinting Inc. Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies only. Other

3rd Party Evaluation Samsung Galaxy S5

• Disabling App Signature Verifications





Distance clusters from State 1 baseline

Path
 Path
 Path



ROI A

UAV Flight Computer 3rd Party Blind Evaluation



FPGA Hardware Trojan Detection Details





PFP Cybersecurity Company Proprietary

Target and Measurement Setup



Target: Xilinx Spartan 3e FPGA



Digitizer: Keysight CX3300



Tamper: Emulated backdoor

Invert output only when cond_1 is true

PFP VOM HW Trojan Detection Results

